

This article was downloaded by: [Tomsk State University of Control Systems and Radio]

On: 17 February 2013, At: 06:11

Publisher: Taylor & Francis

Informa Ltd Registered in England and Wales Registered Number: 1072954 Registered office: Mortimer House, 37-41 Mortimer Street, London W1T 3JH, UK



Molecular Crystals

Publication details, including instructions for authors and subscription information:

<http://www.tandfonline.com/loi/gmcl15>

Errata

Version of record first published: 21 Mar 2007.

To cite this article: (1969): Errata, Molecular Crystals, 5:3, i-i

To link to this article: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/15421406908083456>

PLEASE SCROLL DOWN FOR ARTICLE

Full terms and conditions of use: <http://www.tandfonline.com/page/terms-and-conditions>

This article may be used for research, teaching, and private study purposes. Any substantial or systematic reproduction, redistribution, reselling, loan, sub-licensing, systematic supply, or distribution in any form to anyone is expressly forbidden.

The publisher does not give any warranty express or implied or make any representation that the contents will be complete or accurate or up to date. The accuracy of any instructions, formulae, and drug doses should be independently verified with primary sources. The publisher shall not be liable for any loss, actions, claims, proceedings, demand, or costs or damages whatsoever or howsoever caused arising directly or indirectly in connection with or arising out of the use of this material.

ERRATA

“Electron Spin Resonance of the Triplet State of a Photochemical Oxidation Product of Crystalline Durene”, by Mark Sharnoff, *Molec. Crystals* **5**, 297 (1969).

1. The value of E/hc stated in the abstract and on line 14, p. 299, should read

$$E/hc = \pm (0.043 \pm 0.002) \text{ cm}^{-1}.$$

(D and E have the same sign, as is clear from the context of the discussion on p. 301.)

2. On p. 297, line 5, footnotes 3 and 4 should refer to references 1 and 2. On line 7, footnotes 1 and 2 should refer to references 3 and 4.
3. On p. 301, the last sentence should read: “Such a configuration implies only two magnetically distinct orientations of the aldehyde.”